## **Saturation Consultation Results Summary**

Consultation period: 21<sup>st</sup> December 2012 – 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2013

### Responses

A total of 165 responses were received

| Respondent                  | Number of responses | % of respondents |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Licence holder              | 10                  | 6%               |
| Potential licence applicant | 2                   | 1.2%             |
| Resident                    | 112                 | 67.8%            |
| Visitor                     | 25                  | 15.2%            |
| Other                       | 16                  | 9.7%             |

## Percentage support for a Saturation Policy

A total of 156 responses were received for this question

| Question: Do you support the establishment of a saturation policy/zone in the Brick Lane area? | Number of responses | % of total responses |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|
| Positive   | 127                 | 81.4%                |
| Negative   | 29                  | 18.6%                |

## Type of licence usage that should fall within the Saturation Zone

Within the proposed saturation zone – do you agree that there should be a presumption for the Council to refuse permission for further licences or varying licences for:

| Question   | •   |             | Number of<br>'No'/percentage |
|--|-----|-------------|------------------------------|
| Refusing permission for:<br>The sale of alcohol for<br>consumption within a<br>premises e.g.<br>restaurants, clubs | 156 | 109 (69.9%) | 47 (30.1%)                   |

| Refusing permission for:<br>The sale of alcohol for<br>consumption off the<br>premises e.g. off<br>licenses and some pubs | 155 | 124 (80%)   | 31 (20%)   |
|---|-----|-------------|------------|
| Refusing permission for:<br>The sale of hot food after<br>11pm e.g. restaurants,<br>takeaways                             |     | 108 (69.2%) | 48 (30.8%) |

# Summary of issues raised in the free text box

| Issue raised  | Resident | Other | Visitor | Licence holder |
|---|----------|-------|---------|----------------|
| Want more enforcement in relation to noise nuisance, general anti-social behaviour and public urination | 24       | 0     | 3       | 0              |
| Responses that declared they did not want any further regulation  | 1        | 2     | 2       | 0              |
| Responses that broadly supported the scheme   | 28       | 2     | 1       | 0              |
| Responses that wanted the zone increased  | 2        | 0     | 0       | 0              |
| Responses against the proposals   | 2        | 0     | 0       | 0              |
| Responses that wanted the foot print of the zone reduced  | 0        | 1     | 0       | 1              |